## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

MARK K. ANDERSON, JR.,

Plaintiff,

**ORDER** 

v.

Case No. 25-cv-365-jdp

CHAPPELL ROAN, et al.

Defendants.

On May 7, 2025, I entered an order assessing plaintiff Mark K. Anderson, Jr., an initial partial payment of \$7.63 in this case. Now plaintiff has filed a motion to waive the initial payment, explaining that he cannot pay due to insufficient funds, a lack of outside financial support, and ongoing medical procedures that prevent him from working. *See* dkt. 8. I will grant his motion and allow him to proceed without making an initial partial payment.

In 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1), Congress established a formula to determine how much a prisoner seeking leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* must pay to file a new civil action or appeal in federal court. The statute says, "The court shall assess and, when funds exist, collect, as a partial payment of any court fees required by law, an initial partial filing fee of 20 percent of the greater of — (A) the average monthly deposits to the prisoner's account; or (B) the average monthly balance in the prisoner's account for the 6-month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint or notice of appeal."

In calculating the initial partial filing fee, I used the account statement that plaintiff submitted on May 5, 2025. *See* dkt. 3. I determined that plaintiffs average monthly deposits for the six-month period preceding the filing of his complaint were \$38.17. Twenty percent of \$38.17 is \$7.63. But plaintiffs average monthly deposits in this calendar year are only \$5.30,

and the prison has denied his request to disburse \$7.63 due to insufficient funds. For these reasons, I will grant plaintiff's request to waive the initial partial payment.

## **ORDER**

## IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's request to waive the initial partial filing fee is GRANTED. However, plaintiff remains responsible for paying the entire \$350 filing fee for this case when funds exist.
- 2. No further action will be taken in this case until the court has screened the complaint as required by the Prison Litigation Reform Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). Once the screening process is complete, the court will issue a separate order.

Entered this 30th day of May, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

ANDREW R. WISEMAN United States Magistrate Judge